

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/809,214	Applicant(s) BLACK ET AL.	
	Examiner Leon Y. Lum	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Leon Y. Lum.

(3) _____.

 (2) John Murphy.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 July 2006
Time: 1:00 pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative stated that a reply to the Office Action mailed December 30, 2005 has not been sent and that the application can be abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)